

Name:	Date:		School School
CRITICAL EVALUATION OF A WEB SITE: WEB SITES FO © 2002-12. Kathleen Schrock (kathy@kathyschrock.net) Kathy Schrock's Home Page <a href="http://kathyschrock.net">http://kathyschrock.net</a>	R USE BY ED	OUCAT	<b>TOR</b> S
What type of connection do you have to the Internet?  ☐ High-speed connection ☐ DSL ☐ T1 ☐ T3 ☐ Broadband/cable/sat Y @ 〈 ^ à Á 【 ¸ • ^ ! Á	_		
What is the URL of the Web page you are evaluating? http:// What is the name of the site?	Tille page Te.	s 🗀 INC	J
Place an "x" in the appropriate checkbox.			
Part 1: Technical and visual aspects of the page As you look at the questions below, put an X in the yes or no column	n for each.	YES	NO
1.1 Does the page take a long time to load?			
1.2 Do any pictures or photographs on the page add to the information?			
1.3 Is the spelling and grammar correct on the page?			
1.4 Are there headings and subheadings on the page?			
If so, are they helpful?	-		
1.5 Is the page signed by the author?			
1.6 Is the author's e-mail address included?			
1.7 Is there a date on the page that tells you when it was last updated?			
• If so, is it current?	-		
1.8 Is the format of the page standard and readable with your browser?			
1.9 Is there an image map (large clickable graphic with hyperlinks) on the	page?		
1.10 Is there a table (columns of text) on the page? (Check the source co			
<ul><li>If so, is the table readable with your browser?</li></ul>	-		
1.11 If you have graphics turned off, is there a text alternate to the images	s?		
1.12 On supporting pages, is there a link back to the home page?			
1.13 Are the links clearly visible and annotated or explanatory?			
1.14 Do photographs or sound files appear on the page?			
• If so, can you be sure that a picture or sound has not been edited?	-		
• If you're not sure, should you accept the information as valid for your put	rpose?		
Summary of Part One Using the data you have collected above, write a short statement explainthis site to a fellow educator.	aining why you w	ould or	wouldn't recommend

Part 2: Content As you look at the questions below, put an X in the yes or no	YES	NO
column for each.  2.1 Is the title of the page indicative of the content?		
2.2 Is the purpose of the page indicated on the home page?		
2.3 Does the page include the date it was created?		
2.4 If there is no date, does the information appear to be current?		$\vdash \vdash \vdash$
		H
2.5 Does up-to-date information matter for your purpose?  2.6 Is the information found on the page useful for your purpose?		H
		H
<ul><li>2.7 Would it have been easier to get the information somewhere else?</li><li>2.8 Would information somewhere else have been different? • Why or why not?</li></ul>		H
2.0 Would information somewhere else have been different: * Why of why not:		
2.9 Did the information lead you to other sources, both print and Web, that were useful?		
2.10 Is a bibliography of print sources included?		
2.11 Does the information appear biased? (One-sided, critical of opposing views, etc.)		
2.12 Does the information contradict something you found somewhere else?		
2.13 Do most of the pictures supplement the content of the page?		
Part 3: Authority	YES	NO
As you look at the questions below, put an X in the yes or no column for each.		
3.1 Who created the page?	- I	
2.2 What are mire tion in the marker offiliated with?		
3.2 What organization is the person affiliated with?		
3.3 Conduct a <i>link:</i> command in a search engine to see who links to this page. Can you tell if other experts in the field think this is a reputable page?		
3.4 Doos the domain of the page (k12, odu, com, org., gov) influence your evaluation?		
3.4 Does the domain of the page (k12, edu, com, org, gov) influence your evaluation?		
3.5 Are you positive the information is valid and authoritative?		
3.5 Are you positive the information is valid and authoritative?		
3.5 Are you positive the information is valid and authoritative?     • What can you do to validate the information?		
3.5 Are you positive the information is valid and authoritative?  • What can you do to validate the information?  3.6 Are you satisfied the information is useful for your purpose?		
3.5 Are you positive the information is valid and authoritative?     • What can you do to validate the information?		
3.5 Are you positive the information is valid and authoritative?  • What can you do to validate the information?  3.6 Are you satisfied the information is useful for your purpose?		
3.5 Are you positive the information is valid and authoritative?  • What can you do to validate the information?  3.6 Are you satisfied the information is useful for your purpose?  • If not, what can you do next?		
3.5 Are you positive the information is valid and authoritative?  • What can you do to validate the information?  3.6 Are you satisfied the information is useful for your purpose?  • If not, what can you do next?  3.7 If you do a search in the newsgroups (i.e. Google Groups) for the creator of the page,		
3.5 Are you positive the information is valid and authoritative?  • What can you do to validate the information?  3.6 Are you satisfied the information is useful for your purpose?  • If not, what can you do next?		
3.5 Are you positive the information is valid and authoritative?  • What can you do to validate the information?  3.6 Are you satisfied the information is useful for your purpose?  • If not, what can you do next?  3.7 If you do a search in the newsgroups (i.e. Google Groups) for the creator of the page, do you find any information proving the Web page author is an expert in the field?	Y N	
3.5 Are you positive the information is valid and authoritative?  • What can you do to validate the information?  3.6 Are you satisfied the information is useful for your purpose?  • If not, what can you do next?  3.7 If you do a search in the newsgroups (i.e. Google Groups) for the creator of the page, do you find any information proving the Web page author is an expert in the field?  Part 4: Pedagogy	YN	N/A
3.5 Are you positive the information is valid and authoritative?  • What can you do to validate the information?  3.6 Are you satisfied the information is useful for your purpose?  • If not, what can you do next?  3.7 If you do a search in the newsgroups (i.e. Google Groups) for the creator of the page, do you find any information proving the Web page author is an expert in the field?  Part 4: Pedagogy As you look at the questions below, put an X in the yes or no column for each.	YN	N/A
3.5 Are you positive the information is valid and authoritative?  • What can you do to validate the information?  3.6 Are you satisfied the information is useful for your purpose?  • If not, what can you do next?  3.7 If you do a search in the newsgroups (i.e. Google Groups) for the creator of the page, do you find any information proving the Web page author is an expert in the field?  Part 4: Pedagogy As you look at the questions below, put an X in the yes or no column for each.  4.1Does the information on this page adhere to research-based principles of teaching?	Y N	N/A
3.5 Are you positive the information is valid and authoritative?  • What can you do to validate the information?  3.6 Are you satisfied the information is useful for your purpose?  • If not, what can you do next?  3.7 If you do a search in the newsgroups (i.e. Google Groups) for the creator of the page, do you find any information proving the Web page author is an expert in the field?  Part 4: Pedagogy As you look at the questions below, put an X in the yes or no column for each.  4.1 Does the information on this page adhere to research-based principles of teaching?  4.2 Does the information on this page provide replicable best-practice information?	Y N	N/A
3.5 Are you positive the information is valid and authoritative?  • What can you do to validate the information?  3.6 Are you satisfied the information is useful for your purpose?  • If not, what can you do next?  3.7 If you do a search in the newsgroups (i.e. Google Groups) for the creator of the page, do you find any information proving the Web page author is an expert in the field?  Part 4: Pedagogy As you look at the questions below, put an X in the yes or no column for each.  4.1 Does the information on this page adhere to research-based principles of teaching?  4.2 Does the information on this page provide replicable best-practice information?  4.3 Does the information on the page provide links to professional teaching standards?	Y N	
3.5 Are you positive the information is valid and authoritative?  • What can you do to validate the information?  3.6 Are you satisfied the information is useful for your purpose?  • If not, what can you do next?  3.7 If you do a search in the newsgroups (i.e. Google Groups) for the creator of the page, do you find any information proving the Web page author is an expert in the field?  Part 4: Pedagogy As you look at the questions below, put an X in the yes or no column for each.  4.1 Does the information on this page adhere to research-based principles of teaching?  4.2 Does the information on this page provide replicable best-practice information?  4.3 Does the information on the page provide links to professional teaching standards?  4.4 If the site is intended to provide professional development for educators, is the	Y N	
3.5 Are you positive the information is valid and authoritative?  • What can you do to validate the information?  3.6 Are you satisfied the information is useful for your purpose?  • If not, what can you do next?  3.7 If you do a search in the newsgroups (i.e. Google Groups) for the creator of the page, do you find any information proving the Web page author is an expert in the field?  Part 4: Pedagogy As you look at the questions below, put an X in the yes or no column for each.  4.1 Does the information on this page adhere to research-based principles of teaching?  4.2 Does the information on this page provide replicable best-practice information?  4.3 Does the information on the page provide links to professional teaching standards?	Y N	

5.1 Looking at all of the data you have collected above while evaluating the site, explain why this site is (or is not) valid for your purpose. Include the aspects of technical content, authenticity, authority, bias, pedagogy,
not) valid for your purpose. Include the aspects of technical content, authenticity, authority, bias, pedagogy,
and subject content.

©2002-12. Kathy Schrock. All rights reserved. Permission to reproduce for classroom use granted. No permission granted for derivative works.